The Integration of Generative AI in Legal Practice: Opportunities and Challenges

¹Alan Robert White, ²Tubagus Achmad Darodjat, ³Yuhelson
1,2Rajamangala University Of Technology Krungthep, Thailand
3Jayabaya University, Indoensia,
1alan.w@mail.rmutk.ac.th, 2bagus2m@gmail.com 3yuhelson2870@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) has emerged as a transformative tool within the legal profession, enhancing productivity and shifting the dynamics of legal service delivery. This desktop review synthesizes recent literature on AI adoption in law firms, focusing on the potential of GenAI in automating routine tasks, the challenges of ethical concerns, and the role of policy and education in mitigating risks. While AI presents numerous opportunities, it also requires careful integration into legal workflows to ensure compliance with ethical standards and professional obligations. This paper explores the current state of GenAI in the legal field and offers insights into future directions, including necessary policy development and professional training.
Keywords: AI Adoption, Generative AI, Legal Practice, Legal Education, Professional Ethics, Policy Development

Introduction

The integration of Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) into the legal profession is reshaping the landscape of legal practice, presenting both transformative opportunities and significant challenges. In recent years, advancements in AI technologies, particularly Large Language Models (LLMs) like GPT-4, have enabled legal professionals to automate routine tasks such as legal research, document drafting, and contract analysis. These capabilities not only promise to enhance productivity but also allow lawyers to focus on higher-value, strategic aspects of their work, such as client interaction, negotiation, and case strategy.

However, despite the growing optimism surrounding AI's potential to revolutionize the legal field, there remains considerable skepticism and caution among legal professionals. Concerns over the accuracy and reliability of AI-generated content, ethical dilemmas regarding data privacy and bias, and the potential impact on traditional billing structures all present barriers to widespread AI adoption. Moreover, the legal profession's inherent need for careful judgment and the protection of client interests raises questions about how AI can be responsibly integrated without compromising the profession's integrity.

As AI tools become more embedded in everyday legal workflows, there is an increasing need for law firms, regulators, and legal educators to establish comprehensive policies, ethical frameworks, and training programs that guide AI usage in practice. While some jurisdictions are taking steps to implement guidelines, the legal industry as a whole remains in the early stages of grappling with how best to balance the benefits of AI with the potential risks it poses.

This paper aims to explore the current state of Generative AI in the legal field, synthesizing insights from recent literature to better understand how AI is being integrated into legal workflows, the challenges legal professionals face in adopting this technology, and the steps needed to ensure its responsible use. Through this review, the paper will provide a comprehensive overview of AI's role in the legal profession, highlighting the opportunities it presents while addressing the ethical, practical, and policy-related concerns that must be navigated as the industry continues to evolve.

¹Alan Robert White, ²Tubagus Achmad Darodjat, ³Yuhelson
https://jurnal.erapublikasi.id/index.php/JEL

The rise of Generative AI (GenAI) technologies, such as GPT-4-based platforms, is rapidly changing the legal landscape. While these tools can significantly enhance legal practice by automating routine tasks, they raise critical issues concerning ethical considerations, reliability, and the potential for job displacement. Many legal professionals remain skeptical about adopting AI, citing concerns about the accuracy of AI-generated content and the potential risks involved. Despite these challenges, early indications suggest that AI is here to stay, with widespread adoption across the legal industry. This review examines these concerns and opportunities, exploring the broader implications of AI for the future of legal practice.

The significance of this research lies in its timely exploration of how AI is reshaping the legal profession, especially within the context of productivity, efficiency, and ethical governance. By analyzing existing literature, this paper seeks to provide a balanced perspective on AI's role in legal work, its adoption rates, and the challenges firms face as they navigate its implementation. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for legal professionals, educators, and policymakers who aim to ensure that AI is integrated responsibly into legal practice while maintaining the profession's ethical standards.

Wang and Ch'ang (2023) argue that all legal professionals must proactively adopt generative AI as a crucial productivity tool in legal work. They highlight platforms like Harvey, a GPT-4-based AI tailored for legal tasks such as research, drafting, and analysis. The authors emphasize that AI complements rather than replaces lawyers by automating routine tasks and allowing lawyers to focus on strategic and client-centered roles. They caution about risks including reliability, bias, and liability, and advocate for developing AI competencies such as prompt engineering and critical evaluation of AI outputs.

Melvin (2024) discusses AI's role in reshaping legal practice by automating data-heavy tasks such as research and contract analysis. She highlights AI's potential to augment legal professionals, encouraging reskilling and upskilling of support staff into higher-value roles. Melvin stresses that human qualities like empathy and negotiation remain indispensable, while noting challenges such as resistance to change, ethical concerns, and the need to contextualize AI outputs.

Braff (2025), reporting on a Thomson Reuters survey, reveals growing optimism and adoption of generative AI in law firms, with over two-thirds of legal professionals using AI weekly. Despite this, many lack formal AI training and clear firm policies on AI use. Braff emphasizes that successful AI integration requires investment in technology, staff training, and ethical governance to balance AI's benefits with privacy, bias, and accountability concerns.

Thomson Reuters (2025) reports increasing optimism and adoption of AI in the legal profession, with 77% of professionals expecting significant impact within five years. AI tools, particularly generative AI, are used to automate routine tasks like document review, legal research, and contract drafting, saving lawyers about four hours weekly and boosting productivity substantially. AI enables lawyers to focus more on strategic planning, client relations, and meaningful work, while also influencing a potential decline in hourly billing models. Additionally, AI adoption is reshaping client expectations and driving law firms to adapt business models accordingly (Thomson Reuters, 2025).

White (2024) examines AI's impact on legal operations, noting advances from simple chatbots to sophisticated tools that automate research, document drafting, and risk assessment. He explains AI's role in improving efficiency and client service while enabling smaller firms to compete with larger ones. White underscores the importance of continuous learning, training, and strategic AI adoption to fully realise these benefits.

¹Alan Robert White, ²Tubagus Achmad Darodjat, ³Yuhelson

Jones (2025) offers a balanced analysis of AI's transformative potential and associated risks in legal practice. She highlights rapid AI adoption, potential job shifts, and the need for legal education reforms to include AI literacy and auditing skills. Jones warns against overreliance on AI due to hallucinations and errors, advocating for cautious, expert-guided integration that leverages AI's strengths without compromising legal judgment.

Artificial intelligence (AI) is reshaping the legal profession, influencing both practice and legal education. Morris (2025) emphasizes that while AI will not replace lawyers, those who do not adopt AI risk becoming obsolete. Legal education is evolving to equip students with the skills to responsibly integrate AI into their work, combining expert legal knowledge with AI tools to improve accuracy and ethical application. Programs like The Colleges of Law are teaching students not only to use AI chatbots such as ChatGPT but also to build and design these tools to address real legal challenges, fostering innovation and entrepreneurship in legal technology. Moreover, AI is seen as a vital means to address systemic issues, such as lack of access to legal services, while also reshaping law firm operations and client expectations. As law firms increasingly embrace AI, graduates with expertise in AI applications are positioned at the forefront of the profession, with opportunities spanning traditional legal practice to tech entrepreneurship (Morris, 2025).

Artificial intelligence (AI) is increasingly reshaping the legal industry, offering large law firms enhanced productivity and new capabilities. However, AI poses challenges to the traditional billable hour model, which accounts for about 80% of fee arrangements; significant productivity gains could reduce firms' revenues and profits (Harvard Law School, 2025). Interviews with executives from ten AmLaw100 firms revealed cautious optimism about AI's impact, with most agreeing that AI can dramatically increase lawyer productivity—sometimes by over 100 times—especially in tasks like high-volume litigation. Firms are actively piloting AI solutions, which have already demonstrated substantial time savings and improved accuracy. Key considerations include adjustments to business models, staffing, investment, and client expectations (Harvard Law School, 2025).

Artificial intelligence (AI) includes systems capable of tasks requiring human-like cognition, such as learning and communication. A key subset of AI, generative AI (GenAI), uses deep learning to create content like text and images based on vast data sets, though it lacks the ability to validate its outputs (ACT Law Society, 2024). In legal practice, AI is becoming more integrated, with tools like GPT models enhancing tasks such as research and contract analysis. Although some predict AI will replace lawyers, others argue it will simply automate routine work, allowing lawyers to focus on higher-value tasks (ACT Law Society, 2024). Reports from legal organizations indicate that many lawyers are adopting AI to increase efficiency, and generative AI may change law firm structures and billing models (ACT Law Society, 2024).

Since the release of ChatGPT in November 2022, the legal profession has faced a rapid evolution with AI tools like ChatGPT, Claude, and Perplexity. Despite the increasing adoption of generative AI (GenAI), many lawyers remain hesitant due to concerns over ethics and the potential for inaccurate outputs. However, as reported by Clio's 2024 Legal Trends Report (LTR), 79% of lawyers are already using AI in their practice. Notably, only 10% of law firms have established policies on AI use, highlighting the need for clearer guidelines. GenAI can streamline routine tasks such as legal research, document drafting, and contract review, freeing up lawyers to focus on higher-value, complex work that requires human expertise. This efficiency boost has led to increasing AI adoption, with 81% of administrative assistant tasks and 57% of lawyer tasks identified as potentially automatable. However, to fully benefit from AI, the legal profession must address concerns about its ethical and responsible use, with new guidelines emerging from entities like New York's Judicial Administration Working

Group and the American Bar Association. Looking ahead to 2025, the focus will shift from "what" AI can do to "how" it should be used in compliance with professional conduct rules. With the proper policies, training, and ongoing education, AI can be an integral part of legal workflows, enhancing efficiency while maintaining the ethical standards of the profession (Palmer, 2024).

Methodology

This research employs a desktop review methodology, synthesizing findings from a range of academic articles, reports, and professional publications on the adoption and integration of Generative AI in the legal profession. Key sources include industry surveys (e.g., Legal Trends Report, Thomson Reuters 2024), expert commentary (e.g., Palmer, 2024), and insights from law firms' experiences with AI tools like Harvey and Vincent AI. The review is aimed at identifying the primary benefits, challenges, and strategic considerations for AI adoption in legal practice, while also discussing policy recommendations and educational approaches necessary for successful integration.

Results and Discussion

The review reveals several key trends in the integration of AI into legal practice. GenAI platforms such as GPT-4 and Vincent AI are being widely adopted for tasks like legal research, document review, contract drafting, and predictive analytics (Thomson Reuters, 2024). Studies show that these tools save lawyers considerable time, freeing them up to engage in more strategic, high-value work. For example, over 57% of tasks typically performed by lawyers, such as contract drafting, are identified as automatable (Palmer, 2024).

Despite the benefits, there are notable concerns regarding the ethical implications of AI use. These include issues of data bias, the "hallucination" of false information, and the potential erosion of legal judgment. GenAI tools, while powerful, cannot independently validate their outputs, leading to risks in legal accuracy and professional responsibility (ACT Law Society, 2024). The role of lawyers in ensuring AI-generated content's accuracy remains critical, as AI is seen as a tool that supports but does not replace human expertise (Wang & Ch'ang, 2023).

A significant barrier to AI adoption remains the lack of clear policies and training for legal professionals. A 2024 survey by Thomson Reuters reported that only 10% of law firms have established AI policies, highlighting the need for more structured governance (Braff, 2025). Resistance to change, fear of job displacement, and a lack of AI literacy are among the factors limiting wider adoption.

The evolving role of AI in legal practice underscores the need for reformed legal education. Legal institutions are increasingly integrating AI training into curricula, emphasizing responsible AI use and developing competencies in AI-driven legal tools (Morris, 2025). Such education is vital for ensuring that future legal professionals can integrate AI effectively while maintaining ethical standards.

To address the growing use of AI in legal practice, jurisdictions like New York and Maryland have already implemented guidelines for AI's ethical use in the legal profession. These policies help safeguard client confidentiality, protect legal integrity, and ensure that AI is used responsibly within the bounds of professional conduct (Palmer, 2024).

Conclusion

The integration of Generative AI into legal practice offers significant opportunities for increased efficiency, productivity, and innovation. However, the adoption of AI must be

¹Alan Robert White, ²Tubagus Achmad Darodjat, ³Yuhelson
https://jurnal.erapublikasi.id/index.php/JEL

carefully managed to mitigate risks related to ethical concerns, data security, and professional accountability. To fully realize the potential of AI, law firms, educational institutions, and regulatory bodies must work together to establish clear policies, provide AI training, and foster ongoing professional development. The future of legal practice will depend on how well these challenges are addressed and how effectively AI is integrated into workflows while upholding the core values of the legal profession.

Limitations

While the findings from the desktop review provide valuable insights into the role of AI in legal practice, the study is limited by its reliance on secondary sources. The review does not include direct interviews with legal professionals or an in-depth empirical analysis of AI implementation across different law firms. Additionally, the rapid evolution of AI technologies means that some of the data and examples presented may become outdated in the near future.

Future Research

Future research should focus on empirical studies that explore the real-world impact of AI adoption in law firms, particularly in terms of client outcomes, operational efficiency, and lawyer satisfaction. Further studies could also examine how law firms are navigating the ethical and regulatory challenges posed by AI, including the development of standardized AI policies. Additionally, research into the long-term effects of AI on job structures within the legal profession and its implications for the future of legal education would provide deeper insights into the evolving relationship between AI and legal practice.

References

ACT Law Society. (2024). *Do lawyers have a future? Legal practice in the age of AI*. https://www.actlawsociety.asn.au/article/do-lawyers-have-a-future--legal-practice-in-the-age-of-ai-

Braff, D. (2025). *AI is the future of law, but most legal pros aren't trained for it, new report says*. ABA Journal. https://www.abajournal.com/web/article/ai-is-the-future-of-law-but-most-legal-pros-arent-trained-for-it-a-new-report-says

Harvard Law School. (2025). How AI could shape the future of large law firms. *Center on the Legal Profession*. https://clp.law.harvard.edu/knowledge-hub/insights/the-impact-of-artificial-intelligence-on-law-law-firms-business-models/

Jones, H. (2025). Risk or revolution: Will AI replace lawyers? *Forbes*. https://www.forbes.com/sites/hessiejones/2025/03/20/risk-or-revolution-will-ai-replace-lawyers/

Melvin, B. (2024). The future of artificial intelligence and legal careers. *Smart Lawyer*. https://nationaljurist.com/smartlawyer/the-future-of-artificial-intelligence-and-legal-careers/

Morris, I. (2025, March 24). 7 ways artificial intelligence is already changing law school and legal careers. *The Colleges of Law*. https://www.collegesoflaw.edu/blog/2025/03/24/7-ways-artificial-intelligence-is-already-changing-law-school-and-legal-careers/

Palmer, M. C. (2024). How lawyers can overcome fear and embrace AI in 2025. *Future Law 2024*. https://www.2civility.org/how-lawyers-can-overcome-fear-and-embrace-ai-in-2025/

Thomson Reuters. (2025). *How AI is transforming the legal profession.* https://legal.thomsonreuters.com/blog/how-ai-is-transforming-the-legal-profession/

Wang, J., & Ch'ang, S. (2023). Are you using AI yet? How lawyers can use it to their advantage. PwC. https://www.pwc.com/gx/en/about/pwc-asia-pacific/are-you-using-ai-yet-how-lawyers-can-use-it-to-their-advantage.html

White, S. (2024). How AI is reshaping the future of legal practice. *The Law Society.* https://www.lawsociety.org.uk/topics/ai-and-lawtech/partner-content/how-ai-is-reshaping-the-future-of-legal-practice